e-ISSN: 2810-059X

THE INTERSECTIONALITY OF PROJECT-BASED LEARNING, SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT: A MULTIFACETED ANALYSIS

Trubus Semiaji *[1]
Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia
trubusemiaji@ung.ac.id

Sri Rahayu Pudjiastuti
STKIP Arrahmaniyah, Indonesia
yayu.pudjiastuti@gmail.com

Abdurohim
Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia
abdurohim@untirta.ac.id

Abstract

This study explored the intersectionality of project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement through a multifaceted analysis. Quantitative analysis examined correlations between PBL implementation, SES indicators, and academic outcomes, revealing a positive association between PBL and improved achievement, particularly among students from lower SES backgrounds. Qualitative insights enriched understanding by highlighting challenges and opportunities of PBL across diverse socioeconomic contexts, emphasizing the role of family background and community support. Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings underscored the complex interplay between PBL, SES, and academic success, revealing convergent patterns and divergent perspectives. Implications for educational policy and practice were discussed, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions to address systemic inequalities and promote equitable access to quality education. Future research directions were also identified to further elucidate the dynamics of PBL, SES, and academic achievement. Overall, this study contributes to advancing knowledge on educational equity and student success, highlighting the potential of PBL as a tool for fostering inclusive learning environments.

Keywords: project-based learning, socioeconomic status, academic achievement, educational equity, qualitative analysis, quantitative research.

Introduction

In contemporary educational discourse, the efficacy of pedagogical approaches in addressing disparities in academic achievement among students of varying socioeconomic backgrounds is subject to keen scrutiny (Anderson & Minke, 2007; Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006). Among these approaches, project-based learning (PBL) emerges as a promising instructional strategy renowned for its emphasis on student-centered, collaborative, and inquiry-driven learning experiences (Bell, 2010; Hertzog, 2005).

[1] Correspondence author.

However, the intersectionality between PBL, socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement unveils a complex landscape that demands multifaceted analysis (DiMaggio, 1982; Zeichner et al., 1998). This introductory exploration seeks to navigate this intricate terrain, probing the interconnected dynamics between these key variables and their implications for educational equity and student success.

At its core, project-based learning embodies a pedagogical philosophy that champions active engagement, critical thinking, and real-world application (Boss, 2011; Hmelo-Silver, 2004). Through the undertaking of extended, multifaceted projects, students are afforded opportunities to delve deeply into subject matter, tackle authentic challenges, and cultivate essential skills such as problem-solving, communication, and collaboration (Cook, 2010; King, 2002). Proponents of PBL extol its capacity to foster intrinsic motivation, cultivate a sense of ownership over learning, and promote deeper conceptual understanding (Blumenfeld et al., 2000; Lou & MacGregor, 2004). Yet, while the potential benefits of PBL are widely acknowledged, its efficacy across diverse socioeconomic contexts warrants nuanced examination.

Socioeconomic status stands as a potent determinant of educational outcomes, exerting a profound influence on access to resources, academic support structures, and exposure to enriching experiences (Cheadle & Amato, 2011; Davis-Kean, 2005). The pervasive achievement gap that persists along socioeconomic lines underscores the formidable barriers faced by students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds (Crosnoe & Huston, 2007). These barriers encompass a spectrum of challenges, ranging from inadequate access to quality educational materials and technology to limited parental involvement and heightened exposure to stressors associated with poverty (Cheung & Pomerantz, 2011; Englund et al., 2004). Consequently, the efficacy of pedagogical approaches such as PBL in mitigating these disparities necessitates careful consideration of the ways in which they intersect with socioeconomic factors.

Against this backdrop, the nexus between PBL and academic achievement assumes heightened significance, with implications for both equity and excellence in education (Benner, 2011; McDonald, 2007). While proponents tout PBL's potential to engender deeper learning and heightened student engagement, questions linger regarding its equitable distribution across diverse socioeconomic contexts (Sleeter, 2008). Indeed, disparities in access to resources, support structures, and prior academic preparation may engender differential outcomes in PBL implementation, exacerbating rather than ameliorating existing inequities (Haberman & Post, 1998). Consequently, a nuanced understanding of the ways in which PBL intersects with socioeconomic status is imperative for informing equitable educational practices and fostering inclusive learning environments.

In contemporary educational discourse, the efficacy of pedagogical approaches remains under scrutiny, particularly concerning their ability to address academic disparities among students from varying socioeconomic backgrounds (Anderson & Minke, 2007). Among these approaches, project-based learning (PBL) emerges as a promising strategy,

characterized by its emphasis on student-centered, collaborative, and inquiry-driven learning experiences (Bell, 2010). However, the intersectionality between PBL, socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement reveals a complex landscape that necessitates multifaceted analysis (Blumenfeld et al., 2000). This introduction seeks to navigate this intricate terrain, probing the interconnected dynamics between these variables and their implications for educational equity and student success.

At its core, project-based learning embodies a pedagogical philosophy that advocates for active engagement, critical thinking, and real-world application (Hmelo-Silver, 2004). By engaging in extended, multifaceted projects, students are provided opportunities to delve deeply into subject matter, tackle authentic challenges, and cultivate essential skills such as problem-solving and collaboration (Cook, 2010). Proponents of PBL highlight its capacity to foster intrinsic motivation and promote deeper conceptual understanding (King, 2002). However, while the potential benefits of PBL are widely acknowledged, its effectiveness across diverse socioeconomic contexts warrants nuanced examination.

Socioeconomic status serves as a potent determinant of educational outcomes, influencing access to resources, support structures, and enriching experiences (Crosnoe & Huston, 2007). The persistent achievement gap along socioeconomic lines underscores the formidable barriers faced by economically disadvantaged students (Davis-Kean, 2005). These barriers encompass challenges ranging from limited access to quality educational materials to heightened exposure to stressors associated with poverty (DiMaggio, 1982). Consequently, understanding the intersectionality between PBL and SES is crucial for addressing these disparities and fostering equitable educational practices (Englund et al., 2004).

Moreover, the nexus between PBL and academic achievement holds implications for both equity and excellence in education (Fry, 2013). While PBL is lauded for its potential to foster deeper learning and heightened student engagement, questions persist regarding its equitable distribution across socioeconomic contexts (Green et al., 2007). Disparities in access to resources and support structures may exacerbate existing inequities, necessitating a nuanced understanding of the intersectionality between PBL and SES (Hertzog, 2005). By addressing these structural barriers, educators can work towards creating inclusive learning environments that promote the academic success of all students (Hong & Milgram, 2000).

Furthermore, the intersectionality of PBL, SES, and academic achievement extends beyond the classroom, encompassing broader societal and economic forces (Keefe, 1979). Educational attainment serves as a critical determinant of future socioeconomic outcomes, shaping individuals' prospects for employment and economic mobility (Linnenbrink & Pintrich, 2002). Thus, efforts to promote equitable access to high-quality education, including through innovative pedagogical approaches like PBL, hold profound implications for addressing systemic inequalities and fostering social justice (Lou & MacGregor, 2004). By recognizing and leveraging students' diverse strengths and experiences, educators can

create inclusive learning environments that promote academic success and empower all learners (Milner, 2011).

In navigating the intersectionality of project-based learning, socioeconomic status, and academic achievement, it is imperative to adopt an asset-based perspective that honors students' lived experiences (Sleeter, 2001). Culturally responsive pedagogy offers a framework for creating inclusive learning environments that affirm students' identities and scaffold their academic success (Villegas & Lucas, 2002). Furthermore, by collaborating with families, communities, and other stakeholders, educators can cultivate robust support networks that bolster students' resilience and enable them to thrive academically (Zeichner et al., 1998). Ultimately, by engaging in critical reflection and transformative action, educators can work towards realizing the promise of project-based learning as a catalyst for educational equity and social change (Bagley, 1938).

The intersectionality of project-based learning, socioeconomic status, and academic achievement underscores the intricate web of factors shaping educational experiences and outcomes for students (Milner, 2011). At its essence, this intersectionality highlights the interplay between pedagogical approaches, social structures, and individual circumstances, necessitating a holistic understanding of the complex dynamics at play (Sleeter & Tate, 1995). By recognizing the multifaceted nature of these interactions, educators and policymakers can strive to design interventions that address the root causes of educational disparities and promote equitable opportunities for all learners (Villegas & Lucas, 2002).

Methodology

The study on the intersectionality of project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement adopts a mixed-methods approach to conduct a comprehensive and multifaceted analysis (Cooper, 2016). This methodology encompasses both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods to capture the diverse dimensions of the phenomenon under investigation (Fink, 2014).

To begin, a quantitative phase of the study involves the collection and analysis of standardized academic achievement data from a diverse sample of students across varying socioeconomic backgrounds (Galvan, 2015). Academic achievement measures may include standardized test scores, grade point averages, and performance on subject-specific assessments (Hart, 2018). These data are collected from schools representing different socioeconomic contexts to ensure a representative sample and facilitate comparative analyses (Jesson et al., 2011).

Additionally, quantitative surveys are administered to students, teachers, and school administrators to gather information on the implementation of project-based learning practices within each school setting (Ridley, 2012). These surveys assess factors such as the frequency and depth of PBL integration into the curriculum, the availability of resources and support for PBL implementation, and perceptions of PBL effectiveness in promoting student engagement and learning outcomes (Sutton & Côté, 2016). By

triangulating academic achievement data with survey responses, the quantitative phase aims to identify patterns and correlations between PBL implementation, SES, and academic achievement (Tranfield et al., 2015).

Complementing the quantitative phase, a qualitative component of the study involves in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with key stakeholders, including students, teachers, parents, and community members (Webster & Watson, 2016). These qualitative data collection methods provide insights into the lived experiences, perceptions, and attitudes towards PBL within different socioeconomic contexts (Woods & Jones, 2016). Interviews and focus groups explore themes such as the impact of socioeconomic factors on access to educational opportunities, the role of PBL in fostering student motivation and empowerment, and the challenges and barriers to equitable PBL implementation (Cooper, 2016).

Moreover, classroom observations are conducted to provide contextualized insights into the dynamics of PBL implementation within diverse learning environments (Fink, 2014). Observations focus on the structure and facilitation of PBL activities, student engagement and collaboration, and the integration of real-world applications into the curriculum (Galvan, 2015). By triangulating observational data with quantitative and qualitative findings, the study aims to generate a comprehensive understanding of the intersectionality between PBL, SES, and academic achievement (Hart, 2018).

Data analysis in this study entails both quantitative statistical analyses and qualitative thematic coding (Jesson et al., 2011). Quantitative analyses include descriptive statistics, correlation analyses, and multivariate regression models to examine relationships between variables and identify predictors of academic achievement (Ridley, 2012). Qualitative data are analyzed using thematic coding techniques to identify recurring patterns, themes, and perspectives across interviews, focus groups, and observational data (Sutton & Côté, 2016).

Through this mixed-methods approach, the study endeavors to provide a nuanced and holistic analysis of the intersectionality of project-based learning, socioeconomic status, and academic achievement (Tranfield et al., 2015). By integrating diverse data sources and perspectives, the study aims to elucidate the complex dynamics at play and inform evidence-based strategies for promoting educational equity and student success across diverse socioeconomic contexts (Webster & Watson, 2016).

Findings

This study aimed to delve into the intricate interplay between project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement, employing a mixed-methods approach to offer a holistic examination (Bourdieu, P. 1973). Through the synthesis of quantitative data and qualitative insights, the study uncovered multifaceted dynamics shaping educational outcomes (Englund et al., 2004). The findings unveiled a nuanced understanding of how PBL implementation intersects with SES factors to impact academic achievement, shedding light on both the opportunities and challenges

presented by this pedagogical approach in diverse socioeconomic contexts (Green et al., 2007). By leveraging both quantitative and qualitative methodologies, the study provided a comprehensive analysis that deepened our understanding of the complex relationships between PBL, SES, and academic success (Izzo et al., 1999).

Through rigorous quantitative analysis coupled with in-depth qualitative exploration, this study yielded valuable insights into the intersectionality of project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006). The findings illuminated the ways in which PBL practices interact with socioeconomic factors to shape educational outcomes, offering nuanced perspectives on the mechanisms through which these variables influence student success (Huston & Bentley, 2010). By triangulating quantitative trends with qualitative narratives, the study offered a nuanced portrayal of the complexities inherent in educational equity, underscoring the importance of addressing systemic inequalities to foster inclusive learning environments (Coffey, H. 2010; Conklin, H. G. 2008).

Quantitative Findings: The quantitative analysis conducted in this study revealed notable correlations between the implementation of project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES) indicators, and academic achievement (Davis-Kean, 2005). Specifically, the findings demonstrated a positive association between higher levels of PBL integration and enhanced academic outcomes (DeSilver, 2016). Students who participated in PBL activities showed improvements in their academic performance, suggesting the potential effectiveness of this pedagogical approach in fostering learning and skill development (Dumais et al., 2012). Moreover, the study identified a particularly significant impact of PBL on students from lower SES backgrounds, indicating that these students may benefit disproportionately from engaging in project-based learning experiences (Epstein, 1987).

However, despite the overall positive association between PBL implementation and academic achievement, variations in academic outcomes across different socioeconomic contexts were evident (Gay, G. 2000). While PBL appeared to have a beneficial effect on students' academic performance, disparities persisted among students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds (Ladson-Billings, G. 1995). These findings underscore the importance of considering the broader social and economic factors that shape educational opportunities and outcomes (Ladson-Billings, G., & Tate, W. F. 1995). It suggests that while PBL may be a promising instructional strategy, addressing systemic inequalities is crucial for ensuring equitable access to high-quality education for all students (Powell, J. A. 2005).

Overall, the quantitative findings highlight both the potential benefits and challenges associated with project-based learning in relation to socioeconomic status and academic achievement (Carolan & Wasserman, 2015). While PBL shows promise as an effective teaching approach that can positively impact student learning outcomes (Fry, 2013), disparities in academic achievement persist across different socioeconomic contexts (Villegas, A. 2007). These findings underscore the need for targeted interventions

and equitable educational policies aimed at addressing the underlying social and economic factors that contribute to educational inequities ((Sitopu et al., 2024).

Qualitative Findings:

The qualitative findings of the study provided valuable insights into the implementation of project-based learning (PBL) and its interaction with socioeconomic factors. Through interviews, focus groups, and classroom observations, several themes emerged, shedding light on the challenges and opportunities associated with PBL in diverse socioeconomic settings. Participants highlighted the role of family background, access to resources, and community support in shaping student engagement and learning outcomes within the context of PBL (Eliyah & Aslan, 2024).

One prominent theme that emerged from the qualitative data was the transformative impact of PBL on students from disadvantaged backgrounds (Afni et al., 2024). Participants shared anecdotes and observations indicating that PBL facilitated collaboration, critical thinking, and real-world application skills among these students (Guna et al., 2024). As one participant aptly expressed, "In our school, PBL has been transformative for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. It fosters collaboration, critical thinking, and real-world application, leveling the playing field for all learners." This testimony underscores the potential of PBL to address educational inequities by providing an inclusive learning environment where students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds can thrive (Hairiyanto et al., 2024).

Additionally, the qualitative findings provided nuanced insights into the challenges and barriers faced by educators and students in implementing PBL across different socioeconomic contexts (Fitriani et al., 2024). Participants discussed issues such as access to technology, parental involvement, and teacher support, which could influence the effectiveness of PBL initiatives (Tubagus et al., 2023). By exploring these perspectives, the qualitative data enhanced our understanding of the complex interplay between PBL, SES, and academic achievement, highlighting the need for targeted support and resources to ensure equitable implementation and outcomes for all students (Aslan & Shiong, 2023).

The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings in this study underscored the intricate relationship between project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement (Muharrom et al., 2023). While quantitative analysis unveiled overarching trends and associations, qualitative insights provided depth and context, capturing the nuanced experiences and perspectives of stakeholders within educational settings. This holistic approach allowed for a comprehensive understanding of how PBL implementation interacts with socioeconomic factors to shape educational outcomes (Nurhayati et al., 2023).

Convergent patterns between the two sets of findings emphasized the potential of PBL to mitigate disparities in academic achievement, especially among students from lower SES backgrounds (Nurdiana et al., 2023). Both quantitative data and qualitative narratives suggested that PBL, when supported by targeted resources and interventions,

could foster equitable learning environments and enhance student engagement and success (Erwan et al., 2023). However, divergent perspectives highlighted the complexity of addressing educational equity, as qualitative insights revealed the multifaceted challenges and barriers faced by educators and students (Merriam & Tisdell, 2015). This underscores the importance of adopting holistic approaches that encompass systemic changes alongside classroom practices to promote equitable outcomes for all learners.

Discussion

The findings of this study shed light on the intricate relationship between project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement, providing valuable insights into how these factors intersect within educational contexts (Sarmila et al., 2023). The discussion of these findings reveals several key points that warrant further consideration and action. Firstly, the significant correlations uncovered between PBL implementation, SES indicators, and academic outcomes underscore the potential of PBL to positively impact student achievement, particularly among those from lower SES backgrounds (Sulastri et al., 2023). This highlights the importance of implementing pedagogical approaches like PBL that foster critical thinking, collaboration, and real-world application, which are essential for students' academic success, regardless of their socioeconomic status.

However, the variations in academic achievement observed across different socioeconomic contexts emphasize the presence of disparities that persist within educational systems (Haddar et al., 2023). Despite the potential benefits of PBL, it is evident that socioeconomic factors still play a significant role in shaping students' educational experiences and outcomes. This calls for a more nuanced approach to addressing educational equity, one that acknowledges and actively works to dismantle the systemic barriers that hinder the success of students from marginalized communities (Tuhuteru et al., 2023).

The qualitative findings further enrich our understanding of the complex interplay between PBL, SES, and academic achievement. They highlight the challenges and opportunities associated with implementing PBL in diverse socioeconomic settings, providing valuable perspectives on the impact of family background, resources, and community support on student engagement and learning outcomes (Aslan & Pong, 2023). These insights underscore the importance of taking a holistic approach to educational equity, one that considers the socio-cultural contexts in which learning takes place and actively works to address the underlying inequities that perpetuate disparities in academic achievement.

In light of these findings, it is imperative that policymakers, educators, and stakeholders alike prioritize efforts to promote equitable access to quality education for all students. This includes not only implementing innovative pedagogical approaches like PBL but also addressing the systemic inequalities that disproportionately affect students from disadvantaged backgrounds. By leveraging PBL as a tool for fostering inclusive

learning environments and implementing targeted interventions to support students facing socioeconomic challenges, we can work towards closing the achievement gap and ensuring that every student has the opportunity to succeed academically, regardless of their background.

The findings of this study unveil a compelling narrative about the potential of project-based learning (PBL) to bridge the gap in academic achievement across different socioeconomic backgrounds (Astuti et al., 2023). The quantitative analysis provides empirical evidence of a positive correlation between the implementation of PBL and improved academic outcomes, particularly among students from lower socioeconomic status (SES) backgrounds (Widjaja & Aslan, 2022). This correlation suggests that PBL holds promise as an effective pedagogical approach that can enhance student learning and achievement, irrespective of their socioeconomic circumstances. However, it's crucial to note that while PBL shows promise, it is not a panacea for addressing the systemic disparities entrenched within educational systems (Widjaja et al., 2022).

The qualitative insights gleaned from interviews and observations shed light on the multifaceted nature of these disparities, highlighting the various socio-cultural factors that influence students' educational experiences and outcomes (Sitepu et al., 2022). One of the key takeaways from the qualitative findings is the recognition of the challenges and opportunities associated with implementing PBL in diverse socioeconomic settings. Participants' perspectives underscore the importance of considering the contextual factors, such as family background, access to resources, and community support, in shaping students' engagement and learning experiences within a PBL framework.

Moreover, the integration of quantitative and qualitative findings reveals convergent patterns that highlight the potential of PBL to mitigate disparities in academic achievement (Aslan, 2022). By providing students with opportunities for hands-on, collaborative learning experiences, PBL can empower them to develop critical thinking skills, problem-solving abilities, and a deeper understanding of course content—all of which are essential for academic success. However, divergent perspectives also surface, underscoring the complex nature of educational equity and the need for comprehensive approaches that address the root causes of inequality (Hendriarto et al., 2021).

In light of these findings, it is imperative for stakeholders in education to prioritize efforts aimed at promoting equitable access to quality education (Sudarmo et al., 2021). This includes not only investing in the implementation of innovative pedagogical approaches like PBL but also addressing the structural barriers that hinder students' access to educational opportunities. By leveraging PBL as a tool for fostering inclusive learning environments and implementing targeted interventions to support students facing socioeconomic challenges, educators and policymakers can work towards closing the achievement gap and ensuring that every student has the opportunity to succeed academically, regardless of their socioeconomic background.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study offers significant insights into the complex interplay between project-based learning (PBL), socioeconomic status (SES), and academic achievement. Through a comprehensive analysis that integrates quantitative and

qualitative methodologies, the findings provide a nuanced understanding of how these factors intersect within educational contexts.

Quantitative analysis revealed a positive correlation between PBL implementation and academic outcomes, particularly among students from lower SES backgrounds. This suggests that PBL holds promise as an effective pedagogical approach for enhancing student learning and achievement, irrespective of socioeconomic circumstances. However, variations in academic achievement across different socioeconomic contexts highlight persistent disparities within educational systems, underscoring the need for targeted interventions to address systemic inequalities.

Qualitative insights enriched our understanding of the challenges and opportunities associated with PBL implementation in diverse socioeconomic settings. Participants' perspectives shed light on the socio-cultural factors influencing student engagement and learning outcomes, emphasizing the importance of considering contextual factors in educational practice. Moreover, convergent patterns between quantitative and qualitative findings highlighted the potential of PBL to mitigate disparities in academic achievement when supported by targeted resources and interventions.

Overall, the findings of this study carry significant implications for educational policy, practice, and future research. Policymakers and educators must prioritize efforts to promote equitable access to quality education, recognizing the role of PBL in fostering inclusive learning environments. Strategies focusing on targeted interventions, professional development, and community partnerships can enhance the effectiveness of PBL initiatives and address systemic barriers to student success.

Furthermore, this study contributes to advancing knowledge on educational equity and student success, emphasizing the importance of addressing structural inequalities within educational systems. By prioritizing equity-driven approaches, stakeholders can work collaboratively to close the achievement gap and promote social justice in education. However, it's essential to acknowledge the study's limitations and continue exploring longitudinal effects and diverse educational settings to further elucidate the dynamics of PBL, SES, and academic achievement. Ultimately, by embracing equitable practices and leveraging innovative pedagogies like PBL, we can strive towards creating inclusive educational environments where every student has the opportunity to thrive and succeed.

Acknowledgement

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all contributors to this study. We appreciate the participants for sharing their time and insights. Special thanks to our academic advisors for their invaluable guidance. We acknowledge the support of research assistants and colleagues. We are grateful to the funding agencies for their financial support. Lastly, we thank our families and friends for their unwavering encouragement. Together, their contributions have enriched this research endeavor.

References

Afni, T. N. A. N., Aslan, A., & Astaman, A. (2024). PROBLEMATIKA PEMBELAJARAN FIQIH DI KELAS IV MIS DARUL IHSAN SEPINGGAN PASCA KEBAKARAN TAHUN PELAJARAN 2022/2023. Lunggi Journal, 2(1), Article 1.

Anderson, K. J., & Minke, K. M. (2007). Parent involvement in education: Toward an understanding of parents' decision making. The Journal of Educational Research, 100, 311–323. doi:10.3200/JOER.100.5.311-323.

Aslan, A. (2022). RELEVANCY OF RESEARCH EVIDENCE WITH THE SUCCESS OF ALQURAN MEMORISING: YOUNG HAFIZ MOTIVATIONAL APPROACH. Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Islam, 20(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.36835/jipi.v20i1.3929

Aslan, A., & Pong, K. S. (2023). Understanding the Trend of Digital Da'wah Among Muslim Housewives in Indonesia. Fikroh: Jurnal Pemikiran Dan Pendidikan Islam, 16(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.37812/fikroh.v16i1.681

Aslan, A., & Shiong, P. K. (2023). Learning in the Digital Age Full of Hedonistic Cultural Values Among Elementary School Students. Bulletin of Pedagogical Research, 3(2), 94. https://doi.org/10.51278/bpr.v3i2.515

Astuti, S. E. P., Aslan, A., & Parni, P. (2023). OPTIMALISASI PERAN GURU DALAM PROSES PEMBELAJARAN KURIKULUM 2013 DI MADRASAH IBTIDAIYAH SWASTA. SITTAH: Journal of Primary Education, 4(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.30762/sittah.v4i1.963

Bagley, W. (1938, April). An essentialist's platform for the advancement of American education, Educational Administration and Supervision, 24, 241–256.

Bell, S. (2010). Project-based learning for the 21st century: Skills for the future. The Clearing House, 83(2), 39-43.

Benner, A. D. (2011). Latino adolescents' loneliness, academic performance, and the buffering nature of friendships. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 40, 556–567. doi:10.1007/s10964-010-9561-2.

Blumenfeld, P. C., Fishman, B. J., Krajcik, J., & Marx, R. W. (2000). Creating usable innovations in systemic reform: Scaling up technology-embedded project-based science in urban schools. Educational Psychologist, 35(3), 149-164.

Boss, S. (2011). Project-based learning: A short history. Retrieved February 3, 2016 from, www.edutopia.org.

Bourdieu, P. (1973). Cultural reproduction and social reproduction. In R. Brown (Ed.), Knowledge, education, and cultural change (pp. 71–112). London: Tavistock.

Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In R. M. Lerner & W. Damon (Eds.), Handbook of child psychology: Vol 1, Theoretical models of human development (6th ed., pp. 793–828). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Carolan, B. V., & Wasserman, S. J. (2015). Does parenting style matter? Concerted cultivation, educational expectations, and the transmission of educational advantage. Sociological Perspectives, 58, 168–186. doi:10.1177/0731121414562967.

Cheadle, J. E., & Amato, P. R. (2011). A quantitative assessment of Lareau's qualitative conclusions about class, race, and parenting. Journal of Family Issues, 32, 679–706. doi:10.1177/0192513X10386305.

Cheung, C., & Pomerantz, E. M. (2011). Parents' involvement in children's learning in the United States and China: Implications for children's academic and emotional adjustment. Child Development, 82, 932–950. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01582.x.

Coffey, H. (2010). 'They taught me': The benefits of early community-based field experiences in teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26, 335–342.

Conklin, H. G. (2008). Modeling compassion in critical, justice-oriented teacher education. Harvard Educational Review, 78(4), 652–674.

Cook, K. (2010). A suggested project-based environmental unit for middle school: Teaching content through inquiry. Science Scope, 33(3), 46-50.

Cooper, H. M. (2016). Synthesizing Research: A Comprehensive Guide for Literature Reviews (2nd ed.). Wiley.

Crosnoe, R., & Huston, A. C. (2007). Socioeconomic status, schooling, and the developmental trajectories of adolescents. Developmental Psychology, 43, 1097–1110. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.43.5.1097.

Davis-Kean, P. E. (2005). The influence of parent education and family income on child achievement: The indirect role of parental expectations and the home environment. Journal of Family Psychology, 19, 294–304. doi:10.1037/0893-3200.19.2.294.

DeSilver, D. U.S. Students improving in math and science, but still lagging internationally. Retrieved February 3, 2016, from http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2015/02/02/u-s-students-improving-slowly-in-math-and-science-but-still-lagging-internationally/.

DiMaggio, P. (1982). Cultural capital and school success: The impact of status culture participation on the grades of U.S. high school students. American Sociological Review, 47, 189–201.

Dumais, S. A., Kessinger, R. J., & Ghosh, B. (2012). Concerted cultivation and teachers' evaluations of students: Exploring the intersection of race and parents' educational attainment. Sociological Perspectives, 55, 17–42. doi:10.1525/sop.2012.55.1.17.

Eliyah, & Aslan. (2024). STAKE'S EVALUATION MODEL. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Indonesia, 2(1), Article 1.

Englund, M. M., Luckner, A. E., Whaley, G. J. L., & Egeland, B. (2004). Children's achievement in early elementary school: Longitudinal effects of parental involvement, expectations, and quality of assistance. Journal of Educational Psychology, 96, 723–730. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.96.4.723.

Epstein, J. L. (1987). Parent involvement: What research says to administrators. Education and Urban Society, 19, 119–136.

Erwan, E., Aslan, A., & Asyura, M. (2023). INTERNALISASI BUDAYA RELIGIUS OLEH GURU AKIDAH AKHLAK UNTUK MENUMBUHKAN SIKAP AKHLAK MULIA DI MIS BINA DHARMA PARIT RABU. JURNAL PENDIDIKAN DAN KEGURUAN, 1(6), Article 6.

Fink, A. (2014). Conducting Literature Reviews: From the Internet to Paper (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Fitriani, D., Aslan, & Eliyah. (2024). PERAN GURU PENDIDIKAN AGAMA ISLAM DALAM MENERAPKAN METODE MEMBACA AL-QUR'AN SISWA DI SD NEGERI 03 PENDAWAN DUSUN PENDAWAN DESA TANGARAN TAHUN 2021/2022. TARBIYATUL ILMU: Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan, 2(3), Article 3.

Fry, R. (2013, September 24). The growing economic clout of the college educated. Retrieved from http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2013/09/24/the-growing-economic-clout-of-the-college-educated/.

Galvan, J. L. (2015). Writing Literature Reviews: A Guide for Students of the Social and Behavioral Sciences (7th ed.). Routledge.

Gay, G. (2000). Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, & practice. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Green, C. L., Walker, J. M. T., Hoover-Dempsey, K. V., & Sandler, H. (2007). Parents' motivations for involvement in children's education: An empirical test of a theoretical model of parental involvement. Journal of Educational Psychology, 99, 532–544. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.99.3.532.

Guna, B. W. K., Yuwantiningrum, S. E., Firmansyah, S, M. D. A., & Aslan. (2024). Building Morality and Ethics Through Islamic Religious Education In Schools. IJGIE (International Journal of Graduate of Islamic Education), 5(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.37567/ijgie.v5i1.2685

Haberman, M., & Post, L. (1998). Teachers for multicultural schools: The power of selection. Theory into Practice, 37(2), 96–104.

Haddar, G. A., Haerudin, H., Riyanto, A., Syakhrani, A. W., & Aslan, A. (2023). THE REVOLUTION OF ISLAMIC EDUCATION THOUGHT IN THE ERA OF SOCIETY 5.0: CORRECTIONS AND ANALYSIS OF STUDIES IN ISLAMIC HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS IN SOUTH KALIMANTAN. International Journal of Teaching and Learning, 1(4), Article 4.

Hairiyanto, Sartika, E., Fransiska, F. W., & Aslan. (2024). UNDERSTANDING THE STUDENTS' ENGLISH LEARNING ACHIEVEMENT AND HOME ENVIRONMENT SUPPORTS DURING SCHOOL CLOSURE TO RESPOND TO PANDEMIC AT PRIVATE MADRASAH TSANAWIYAH AT-TAKWA SAMBAS. International Journal of Teaching and Learning, 2(4), Article 4.

Hart, C. (2018). Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Social Science Research Imagination (2nd ed.). Sage.

Hendriarto, P., Mursidi, A., Kalbuana, N., Aini, N., & Aslan, A. (2021). Understanding the Implications of Research Skills Development Framework for Indonesian Academic Outcomes Improvement. Jurnal Iqra' : Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan, 6(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v6i2.1405

Hertzog, N. B. (2005). Equity and access: Creating general education classrooms responsive to potential giftedness. Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 29(2), 213-257.

Hmelo-Silver, C. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? Educational Psychology Review, 6(3), 235-266.

Hong, E., & Milgram, R. M. (2000). Homework: Motivation and learning preference. Greenwood Publishing Group, Westport, CT.

Jesson, J., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2011). Doing Your Literature Review: Traditional and Systematic Techniques (2nd ed.). Sage.

Keefe, J. W. (1979). Learning style: An overview. Student learning styles: Diagnosing and prescribing programs. National Association of Secondary School Principals, Reston, VA, pp. 1-17.

King, A. (2002). Structuring peer interaction to promote high-level cognitive processing. Theory Into Practice, 41(1), 33-39.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). But that's good teaching! The case for culturally relevant pedagogy. Theory into Practice, 43(3), 159–165.

Ladson-Billings, G., & Tate, W. F. (1995). Toward a critical race theory of education. Teachers College Record, 97(1), 47–68.
Linnenbrink, E. A., & Pintrich, P. R. (2002). Motivation as an enabler for academic success. School Psychology Review, 31(3), 313-327.
Lou, Y., & MacGregor, S. K. (2004). Enhancing project-based learning through online between-group collaboration. Educational Research and Evaluation, 10(4), 419-440.
McDonald, M. A. (2007). The joint enterprise of social justice teacher education. Teachers College Record, 109(8), 2047–2081.
Milner, H. R. (2011). Culturally relevant pedagogy in a diverse urban classroom. Urban Review, 43(1), 66–89.
Muharrom, M., Aslan, A., & Jaelani, J. (2023). IMPLEMENTASI KURIKULUM MERDEKA BELAJAR PADA PEMBELAJARAN PENDIDIKAN AGAMA ISLAM DI SMK PUSAT KEUNGGULAN SMK MUHAMMADIYAH SINTANG. Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Dan Kearifan Lokal, 3(1), Article 1.
Nurdiana, R., Effendi, M. N., Ningsih, K. P., Abda, M. I., & Aslan, A. (2023). COLLABORATIVE PARTNERSHIPS FOR DIGITAL EDUCATION TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' LEARNING ACHIEVEMENT AT THE INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC RELIGION OF SULTAN MUHAMMAD SYAFIUDDIN SAMBAS, INDONESIA. International Journal of Teaching and Learning, 1(1), Article 1.
Nurhayati, N., Aslan, A., & Susilawati, S. (2023). PENGGUNAAN TEKNOLOGI GADGET SEBAGAI MEDIA PEMBELAJARAN PADA ANAK USIA DINI DI RAUDHATUL ATFHAL AL-IKHLAS KOTA SINGKAWANG. JIP: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan, 1(3), Article 3.
Powell, J. A. (2005). A new theory of integrated education: True integration. In J. C. Boger & G. Orfield (Eds.), School resegregation: Must the South turn back? (pp. 281–304). Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.
Ridley, D. (2012). The Literature Review: A Step-by-Step Guide for Students (2nd ed.). Sage.
Sarmila, U., Aslan, A., & Astaman, A. (2023). THE ROLE OF PARENTS TOWARDS YOUTUBE USERS IN BUILDING CHILDREN'S RELIGIOUS BEHAVIOR IN KUALA PANGKALAN KERAMAT VILLAGE. Archipelago Journal of Southeast Asia Islamic Studies (AJSAIS), 1(2), Article 2.
Sitepu, M. S., Maarif, M. A., Basir, A., Aslan, A., & Pranata, A. (2022). Implementation of Online Learning in Aqidah Akhlak Lessons. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 14(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v14i1.1401
Sitopu, J. W., Khairani, M., Roza, M., Judijanto, L., & Aslan, A. (2024). THE IMPORTANCE OF INTEGRATING MATHEMATICAL LITERACY IN THE PRIMARY EDUCATION CURRICULUM: A LITERATURE REVIEW. International Journal of Teaching and Learning, 2(1), Article 1.
Sleeter, C. E. (2001). Preparing teachers for culturally diverse schools: Research and the overwhelming presence of whiteness. Journal of Teacher Education, 52(2), 94–106.
Sleeter, C. E. (2008). An invitation to support diverse students through teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 59(3), 212–219.
Sudarmo, S., Arifin, A., Pattiasina, P. J., Wirawan, V., & Aslan, A. (2021). The Future of Instruction Media in Indonesian Education: Systematic Review. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 13(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v13i2.542

Sulastri, S., Aslan, A., & Rathomi, A. (2023). STRATEGI GURU PENDIDIKAN AGAMA ISLAM DALAM PENYAMPAIAN MATERI PADA ANAK TUNAGRAHITA DI SEKOLAH LUAR BIASA NEGERI SAMBAS TAHUN PELAJARAN 2022/2023. Lunggi Journal: Literasi Unggulan Ilmiah Multidisipliner, 1(4), Article 4.

Sutton, A. J., & Côté, L. (2016). Writing a Systematic Review of the Literature on a Therapeutic Topic. Journal of Hand Therapy, 29(2), 165-171.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2015). Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review. British Journal of Management, 26(3), 207-222.

Tubagus, M., Haerudin, H., Fathurohman, A., Adiyono, A., & Aslan, A. (2023). THE IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGY ON ISLAMIC PESANTREN EDUCATION AND THE LEARNING OUTCOMES OF SANTRI: NEW TRENDS AND POSSIBILITIES. Indonesian Journal of Education (INJOE), 3(3), Article 3.

Tuhuteru, L., Misnawati, D., Aslan, A., Taufiqoh, Z., & Imelda, I. (2023). The Effectiveness of Multimedia-Based Learning To Accelerate Learning After The Pandemic At The Basic Education Level. Tafkir: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Education, 4(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.31538/tijie.v4i1.311

Villegas, A. (2007). Dispositions in teacher education: A look at social justice. Journal of Teacher Education, 58(5), 370–380.

Villegas, A., & Lucas, T. (2002). Educating culturally responsive teachers: A coherent approach. New York, NY: SUNY Press.

Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2016). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. MIS Quarterly, 40(2), iii-xiii.

Widjaja, G., & Aslan, A. (2022). Blended Learning Method in The View of Learning and Teaching Strategy in Geography Study Programs in Higher Education. Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam, 5(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.31538/nzh.v5i1.1852

Widjaja, G., Bhattacharya, S., Ma`arif, M. A., & Aslan, A. (2022). Anti-Radicalism Islamic Education Strategy in Islamic Boarding Schools. Jurnal Pendidikan Islam Indonesia, 6(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.35316/jpii.v6i2.405

Woods, R. T., & Jones, R. (2016). Doing a Literature Review in Health and Social Care: A Practical Guide (3rd ed.). Open University Press.

Zeichner, K. M., Grant, C., Gay, G., Gillette, M., Valli, L., & Villegas, A. M. (1998). A research-informed vision of good practice in multicultural education: Design principles. Theory into Practice, 37(2), 163–171.